

**IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

IN RE:	Bankruptcy Case No. 10-26337 JAD
SHUBH HOTELS PITTSBURGH, LLC, Debtor.	Chapter 11 Judge Jeffrey A. Deller
DR. KIRAN C. PATEL, and PITTSBURGH GRAND, LLC, v.	Related Docket No.: 927, 929 Hearing Date and Time: May 12, 2011 9:00 a.m.
BLACK DIAMOND HOSPITALITY, LLC, Claimant.	

**BLACK DIAMOND HOSPITALITY, LLC’S OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION
OF THE MODIFIED SECOND AMENDED PLAN OF REORGANIZATION
DATED APRIL 6, 2011**

BLACK DIAMOND HOSPITALITY, LLC. (“BLACK DIAMOND”), by and through undersigned counsel, hereby files this Objection and states as follows:

1. Procedural History: On September 9, 2010, Shubh Hotels Pittsburgh, LLC. filed a voluntary petition pursuant to Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code. Pittsburgh Grand, LLC and Dr. Kiran Patel filed their Second Amended Disclosure Statement in Connection with Second Amended Plan of Reorganization Dated April 6, 2011 (the “Second Amended Disclosure Statement”). BLACK DIAMOND filed a proof of claim, which it subsequently withdrew, and filed a second (the operative) proof of claim, on February 1, 2011 (Claim No. 95).

1. Objection: BLACK DIAMOND objects to the Second Amended Plan of Reorganization insofar as it:

(a) fails to allow BLACK DIAMOND to vote as a class participant and improperly deprives it of a vote even though BLACK DIAMOND timely submitted a Proof of Claim. *See In re South Canaan Cellular Investments, Inc.*, 427 B.R. 44, 81 (Bankr.E.D.Pa.2010) (Provisions of statute requiring that Chapter 11 plan provide same treatment for each claim or interest of a particular class, unless holder of particular claim or interest agrees to less favorable treatment, apply to all classes of claims, even those classes that include insider and non-insider claims); *In re PPI Enterprises (U.S.), Inc.*, 324 F.3d 197 (C.A.3.Del.2003). (There is a presumption that proposed Chapter 11 plan impairs creditor's claim, so as to give creditor a vote on whether plan should be confirmed, and burden is on debtor to demonstrate that plan leaves creditor's rights unaltered ... To determine whether creditor's claim is "impaired," so as to give it standing to vote upon confirmation of proposed Chapter 11 plan, court must examine whether plan itself is source of limitation on creditor's legal, equitable, or contractual rights.);

(b) improperly requires for a release from BLACK DIAMOND without providing BLACK DIAMOND with a vote, without the release being "mutual," and without sufficient consideration for the release. *See In re Saxby's Coffee Worldwide, LLC*, 436 B.R. 331, 337-38 (Bankr.E.D.Pa.2010) (Court may approve third-party release only when a Chapter 11 reorganization plan is widely supported by the creditor constituency that includes the parties being restrained, the plan accords significant benefits to that constituency, and the court is satisfied that the creditors being restrained also are being treated fairly ... Third-party release cannot be granted as part of Chapter 11 plan simply because it is necessary for debtor's reorganization.). This Plan requirement is expressly prohibited as legally and factually unsupportable by the Third Circuit. *See In re Continental Airlines*, 203 F.3d 203, 212

(Bankr.C.A.3.Ct.2000) (“The bankruptcy court has no power to discharge the liabilities of a nondebtor pursuant to the consent of creditors as part of a reorganization plan.” (citation omitted)).

(c) fails to properly identify Shubh Hotels Pittsburgh Investments, LLC including, but not limited to, its members and its interests in Shubh Hotels Pittsburgh, LLC.

WHEREFORE, BLACK DIAMOND HOSPITALITY, LLC objects to the Second Modified Amended Plan of Reorganization and requests this Court enter an order mandating its amendment and for any and all further such relief as this Court may deem appropriate.

Dated: May 4, 2011

GRUMER & MACALUSO, P.A.

Attorneys for Black Diamond Hospitality, LLC.

One East Broward Boulevard

Suite 1501

Fort Lauderdale FL 33301

(954) 713-2700; (954) 713-2713 (Fax)

kgrumer@grumerlaw.com

By: \s\ Keith T. Grumer, Esq.

KEITH T. GRUMER

FL. Bar No: 504416

Admitted *pro hac vice*